

1 HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES
2
3
4
5
6
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10 AT SEATTLE

11 ABDIQAFAR WAGAFE, *et al.*, on behalf of
12 themselves and others similarly situated,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 DONALD TRUMP, President of the United
16 States, *et al.*,

17 Defendants.

18 Case No. 2:17-cv-00094-RAJ

19 **ORDER GRANTING MOTION
20 TO RECONSIDER**

21 This matter comes before the Court on Defendants' Motion to Reconsider (Dkt.
22 # 454) and Defendants' corresponding motion to seal (Dkt. # 455).

23 As an initial matter, the Court **GRANTS** Defendants' motion to seal (Dkt. # 455),
24 finding good cause and finding compliance with the Local Rules.

25 Motions for reconsideration are "disfavored" and "ordinarily den[ied]" absent a
26 showing of "manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority
27 which could not have been brought to [the Court's] attention earlier with reasonable
diligence." Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(h)(1). Defendants ask the Court to
reconsider its previous *in camera* review order. Dkt. # 454 at 4. Specifically, they ask
the Court "to reconsider portions of [its] order overruling certain redactions." *Id.*

1 Because the Court already explained the history and scope of its *in camera* review, the
2 Court need not repeat that account here. Dkt. # 451.

3 During the Court's *in camera* review, for some proposed redactions, "the Court
4 could not see the information under a redaction, or a portion of a redaction, and thus
5 made 'no ruling on' those redactions." Dkt. # 454 at 2. Since then, however,
6 "Defendants [have] contacted Court staff and explained how the Court could access and
7 view" that information. *Id.* The Court finds that this new information constitutes "new
8 facts" under Local Rule 7(h)(1) and will indeed take this opportunity to reconsider.

9 In their motion, Defendants identify specific redactions—covering a specific page
10 range of a specific file—that the Court previously overruled. Dkt. # 454 at 3 (lines 12
11 through 14); *cf.* Dkt. # 451-1 at 5-6. Given the new information provided to the Court,
12 they ask the Court to reconsider and to "sustain all of Defendants' redactions on these
13 pages." *Id.* Upon reconsideration, for the reasons provided in Defendants' motion, the
14 Court **GRANTS** Defendants' request. The redactions identified above, previously
15 overruled, are no longer so. They are hereby **SUSTAINED**. In a manner consistent with
16 this order, Defendants shall apply the Court's ruling to its larger document production.

17 For the reasons above, the Defendants' Motion to Reconsider (Dkt. # 454) and
18 Defendants' corresponding motion to seal (Dkt. # 455) are **GRANTED**.

19
20 DATED this 26th day of February, 2021.

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 

21
22
23 The Honorable Richard A. Jones
24
25 United States District Judge
26
27
28